

Patent Application

for

AN INTEGRATED SYSTEM FOR CORRECTION OF REFRACTIVE ERROR OF
THE HUMAN EYE USING AN ABLATABLE CORNEAL INLAY

by

Gholam A. Peyman

Related Applications

[0001] This application is related to U.S. Application Serial No. 09/758,263, filed January 12, 2001, and U.S. Application Serial No. 09/797,177, filed March 2, 2001, the entire contents of both of which are herein incorporated by reference.

Field of the Invention

[0002] The present invention relates to a system and method for correcting the refractive error in the cornea of the eye. More particularly, the present invention

relates to a system and method for correcting the refractive error in the cornea of the eye using robotic arms to assist in forming a flap in the surface of the cornea and placing a corrective lens under the flap.

Background of the Invention

[0003] A conventional method for correcting the refractive error in a cornea is keratophakia, i.e., implantation of a lens inside the cornea. Keratophakia uses an implant, which is placed into the cornea approximately equidistant from the exterior surface of the cornea and the interior surface. The procedure is usually done by first preparing a lens from corneal donor tissue or synthetic material using a cryo-lathe. The lens is implanted by removing a portion of the cornea with a device called a microkeratome, and the tissue is sutured back into place over the lens. However, there can be problems when microkeratomies are used for cutting the cornea. First, irregular keratectomies or perforations of the eye can result. Second, the recovery of vision can be rather prolonged.

[0004] Another surgical technique exists that uses a femtosecond laser to separate layers inside the stroma, at least two-thirds of the distance from the top surface of the cornea to the inside of the eye. An incision is made to access this area and a solid inlay is inserted to help correct myopia in the eye. However, by separating the layers in the bottom two-thirds of the stroma, it is difficult to access the separated area to insert the inlay and virtually impossible to change or modify the inlay without another extensive surgical procedure. This procedure also requires making an incision, which is parallel to the visual axis and is limited in the lateral direction by a maximum size of 0.3 mm, to encase a relatively rigid inlay that forces the tissue in the lateral direction.

[0005] Additional surgical techniques exist that use ultraviolet light and short wavelength lasers to modify the shape of the cornea. For example, excimer lasers, such as those described in U.S. Patent No. 4,840,175 to Peyman, which emit pulsed ultraviolet radiation, can be used to decompose or photoablate tissue in the live cornea

so as to reshape the cornea. The entire content of U.S. Patent No. 4,840,175 is incorporated by reference herein.

[0006] Specifically, the Peyman patent discloses the laser surgical technique known as laser in situ keratomycosis (LASIK). In this technique, a portion of the front of the live cornea can be cut away in the form of a flap having a thickness of about 160 microns. This cut portion is removed from the live cornea to expose an inner surface of the cornea. A laser beam is then directed onto the exposed inner surface to ablate a desired amount of the inner surface up to 150-180 microns deep. The cut portion is reattached over the ablated portion of the cornea and assumes a shape conforming to that of the ablated portion. Additionally, in the Lasik procedure, a femtosecond laser can be used to cut and separate the flap.

[0007] However, because only a certain amount of cornea can be ablated without the remaining cornea becoming unstable or experiencing outbulging (eklasisa), this technique may not be especially effective in correcting very high myopia. That is, a typical cornea is on average about 500 microns thick. The laser ablation technique generally requires that at least about 250 microns of the corneal stroma remain after the ablation is completed so that instability and outbulging do not occur.

[0008] Additional methods for correcting the refractive error in the eye include inserting an implant between layers of the cornea. Generally, this is achieved using several different methods. One method involves inserting a ring between layers of the cornea, as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,405,384 to Silvestrini. Typically, a dissector is inserted in the cornea and forms a channel therein. Once it is removed, a ring is then inserted into the channel to alter the curvature of the cornea. In another method, a flap can be created in a manner similar to the LASIK procedure, and a lens can be inserted under the flap, as described in U.S. Patent No. 6,102,946 to Nigam. A further method involves forming a pocket using an instrument, and inserting an implant into the pocket, as described in U.S. Patent No. 4,655,774 to Choyce. The entire contents of U.S. Patent Nos. 4,655,774, 5,405,384 and 6,102,946 are incorporated by reference herein.

2025-10-10 10:00:00

[0010] Furthermore, many of the steps of the above procedures are performed by hand. For example, the formation of the flap and the placing of the intraocular lens on the surface of the cornea are generally performed by the surgeon performing the operation. By performing these tasks by hand, there can be a relatively large margin of error in which the surgeon may improperly form the flap or improperly position the lens.

[0012] Therefore, there exists a need for an improved, more versatile method of correcting refractive error in the cornea of an eye.

[0013] Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide an improved, more versatile method of correcting refractive error in the cornea of an eye.

[0015] Still another object of the present invention is to provide a system and method for modifying the cornea of an eye that allows for corrective measures that avoid or eliminate outbulging or instability in the cornea.

[0016] Yet another object of the present invention is to provide a system and method for modifying the cornea of an eye that avoids or eliminates most of the risks of damage due to the use of knives or other mechanical instruments.

[0021] Other objects, advantages, and salient features of the present invention will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the following detailed description,

Brief Description of the Drawings

[0023] Fig. 1 illustrates a system for correcting refractive error in the cornea of the eye, according to an embodiment of the present invention;

[0025] Fig. 3 is a top perspective view of a robotic arm with a ultrashort pulse laser coupled thereto;

[0027] Fig. 5 is a side cross-sectional view of the eye of a patient with a corneal flap formed in the cornea of the eye by irradiating the cornea with an ultrashort pulse laser;

[0029] Fig. 7 is front elevational view of an internal corneal surface of the cornea with a mark in the shape of a “plus” aligned with the optical axis;

[0031] Fig. 9 is a side cross-sectional view of a lens dispensing device with intracorneal lenses inserted therein;

[0033] Fig. 11 is front elevational view of an intracorneal lens with a mark in the shape of a “plus” aligned with the central axis of the lens;

[0034] Fig. 12 is a side cross-sectional view of a laser ablating a portion of the intracorneal lens of Fig. 8;

[0047] Fig. 25 is front elevational view of the external surface of the cornea with a mark in the shape of a dot aligned with the optical axis.

Detailed Description of the Invention

[0048] Fig. 1 illustrates an example of an integrated system for correcting the refractive error of an eye according an embodiment of the present invention. The system 5 includes device 10 that has at least a first robotic arm or automated device 12, a second robotic arm or automated device 14 and a third robotic arm or automated device 16 coupled thereto. First robotic arm 12 has an ultrashort pulse laser 18 coupled thereto, second robotic arm 14 has an excimer laser 20 coupled thereto and third robotic arm 16 has a lens dispenser 22 coupled thereto. Each device and each robotic arm can be controlled remotely at station 24.

[0049] Device 10 preferably is electrically connected to station 24 using electrical cables 26 or any other manner known in the art. Furthermore, device 10 has a top portion 28 and a support structure 30. Device 10 is capable of moving in any direction desired using wheels (not shown) or any other suitable moving means, such as a track that would allow it to move as desired. Top portion 28 can rotate relative to structure 30, thus allowing device 10 to position itself or the individual robotic arms in any of a variety of ways.

[0050] Station 24 preferably is a movable computer control device that has a monitor 32 and controls 34. Controls 34 allow the surgeon to move and position the device 10 and each individual robotic arm to the proper location for use in the desired surgical technique. Monitor 32 provides the surgeon with a detailed view of the area, which is the subject of the specific surgical step, to achieve this display, each robotic arm preferably has a small camera (not shown), which allows the monitor 32 of the station 24 to display project the specific area that is the subject of the specific surgical step.

[0051] As shown in Figs. 2, 3 and 4, each robotic arm 12, 14 and 16 is substantially similar and therefore, only arm 12 will be described herein. Arm 12 is coupled to top portion 28 by a rotatable joint 36, which allows upper portion 38 of arm 12 rotate 360 degrees relative to top portion 28. Additionally, arm 12 has a shoulder joint 40, which connects the upper portion 38 to arm portion 42 and an elbow joint 44, which connects arm portion 42 with lower arm portion 46. Both joints

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

attached to the cornea at all. Additionally, the flap 64 may be shaped or sized as desired and does not need to be circular.

[0054] Laser 18 is preferably an ultrashort pulse laser, such as a pico, femto or attosecond laser, but may be any light emitting device suitable for creating a flap in the cornea 56. The ultrashort pulse laser is positioned in front of the eye 58, using the control station 24 and robotic arm 12, and focuses the laser beam in the cornea 56 at the desired depth and in the desired flap configuration. Ultrashort pulse lasers are desired since they are high precision lasers that require less energy than conventional lasers to cut tissue and do not create "shock waves" that can damage surrounding structures. Cuts made by ultrashort pulse lasers can have very high surface quality with accuracy better than 10 microns, resulting in more precise cuts than those made using mechanical devices or other types of lasers. This type of accuracy results in less risks and complications than the procedures using other types of lasers or mechanical devices.

[0055] As seen in Fig. 8, the flap 64 is then lifted using any device known in the art, such as spatula or microforceps (not shown) or any other device. The microforceps can be coupled or attached to a robotic arm, as described above, which would allow the flap to be lifted under the control of station 24, or the microforceps can be manually manipulated by the surgeon performing the surgical procedure.

[0056] Lens or implant blank 52 is then positioned or introduced in between the first and second internal surfaces 60 and 62, respectively of the flap 64. Preferably, the implant is positioned or placed on internal corneal surface 62 using implant dispenser or carrier device 22, as shown in Fig. 9. Specifically, the lens dispenser 22 has a housing 74, a plunging portion 76 and a stem 78. Preferably the entire device 72 is formed of transparent plastic and the housing has an outer diameter of about 1-11 mm. The plunging portion is also transparent plastic with a diameter of about 1-9 mm, which is substantially the same as both the inner diameter 80 of housing 74 and the diameter of implant 52. The plunging portion 76 also can have a mark, such as "cross hairs" or a dot centered thereon, which helps in aligning the implant 52 with the center or optical axis of the eye 58. Since the housing 74, stem 78 and plunging

portion 76 can each be transparent, by putting "cross hairs" or a dot on the plunging portion a camera or the naked eye can be used to view the "cross hairs" through the housing and/or stem and the plunging position to align the contact with the surface of the eye. The stem 78 electrically connects the plunging portion to station 24 so that station 24 can control when an implant is placed on the surface of the cornea. The plunger is generally coupled to an electric or pneumatic (or any other type of motor) which can be activated remotely to actuate the plunger mechanism and thereby deposit an implant on the surface of the eye. However, the plunging mechanism does not necessarily need to be motorized and can be manually activated by hand if desired. The carrier device 72 can carry at least one implant 52 therein and preferably carries more than one implant therein, such as three or more. Thus, each eye of a patient may be operated on in succession without reloading the implant dispenser 22 or multiple patients may be operated on in succession without reloading the dispenser.

[0057] Implant 52 is preferably any polymer or hydrogel having about 50% water content; however, the water content can be any percentage desired. The implant may be formed from synthetic or organic material or a combination thereof. For example, the implant can be collagen combined with or without cells; a mixture of synthetic material and corneal stromal cells; silicone or silicone mixed with collagen; methylmetacrylate; any transparent material, such as polyprolidine, polyvinylpyridine, polyethylenoxyde, etc.; or any deformable polymer, which can change its shape with radiation after implantation. Additionally, the implant can be any shape or sized desired, can have a different or similar refractive properties to the refractive properties of the cornea, and it can have pigmentation added thereto to change the color of the lens or it can be photochromatic. As seen in Figs. 10 and 11, the implant 52 can have a mark, such as "cross hairs" 82 or a dot 84 centered thereon, which helps in aligning the lens with the center of the eye.

[0058] Additionally, as seen in Figs. 12-14 once the implant 52 is in place, if necessary, the implant can be irradiated and a portion 86 of the ocular material can be ablated by an excimer laser 20. The implant 52 can be ablated to form any shape or size desired. Specifically, the implant 52 preferably is about generally about 1-9 mm

about 1-9 mm in diameter when placed on the surface of the cornea. The curvature preferably has a radius of about 1-20 mm, and more preferably about 4-7 mm. The thickness is preferably about 5-2000 microns, and more preferably about 100 microns. As seen in Fig. 12 and after ablation, the lens 52 is about 1-9 mm from the inner diameter to the outer diameter and more preferably about 3-5 mm. Additionally, the inside edge can be ablated to be thinner or thicker than the outside edge; for example the inside edge can have a thickness of about 1-100 microns, while the outside edge has a thickness of about 20-3000 microns. However, the implant can have any thickness or configuration that would allow it to elevate or move any portion of the flap 64 relative to surface 62. For example, the lens 52 can be ablated to form a ring having multiple bumps or "peaks 55 and valleys 57" (Figs. 13 and 14) or the lens can be partially ablated, so that one portion 53 of the ring has a larger cross-sectional area than another section 59 (Figs. 15 and 16).

[0059] Furthermore, the lens 52 can have an area at the outer perimeter ablated (Fig. 18) or it can have one side or portion offset from the main optical axis ablated (Fig. 17), to help correct astigmatism. The thickness ablated, amount ablated and position of lens 52 generally defines the degree of correction.

[0060] It is noted that although it is preferable to have the inlay ablated on or adjacent to the surface of the cornea, the inlay can be formed or shaped in any manner desired. For example, the inlay can be formed or shaped away from the cornea using a laser, a mill or casting. Preferably, these shaping methods are done when the information needed to modify the inlay or lens is transmitted through a computerized system after wavefront technology has measured the refractive error of the eye; however, as stated above, the wavefront technology information may be used to determine the specific shape and/or size of the prefabricated lens that is to be used.

[0061] The flap 64 is then replaced so that it covers or lies over the lens in a relaxed state, as seen in Figs. 19-21. In other words, implant 52 does not force flap 64 away from the internal surface 62, and described above, and therefore the refractive properties of the cornea are not altered due to a tension force being applied to the flap.

00000000-101001

[0062] After ablation and repositioning of the flap 64, a compression device or polymeric cylinder 88 can be applied to the external surface of the cornea, as seen in Fig. 22. The polymeric cylinder can be formed from any polymer and is preferably silicon, or a silicon sponge or any combination of silicon and other polymers.

Cylinder 88 has a first end 90 and a second end 92. The end surface 94 adjacent second end 92 preferably has the shape that is substantially similar to the outer surface shape of lens 52. The cylinder 88 smoothes the inlay and the corneal flap by having gentle pressure applied thereto. Preferably, the pressure is slightly greater than the normal pressure required for suctioning the cornea to create a flap using a microkeratome as is known to one skilled in the art. However, the pressure can be any desired pressure that would effectively smoothen the flap, including normal intraocular eye pressure.

[0063] At the end of the procedure or before the ablation of the surface of the cornea, topical agents, such as an anti-inflammatory, antibiotics and/or an antiproliferative agent, such as mitomycin or thiotepa, at very low concentrations can be used over the ablated area to prevent subsequent haze formation. The mitomycin concentration is preferably about 0.005-0.05% and more preferably about 0.02%. A short-term bandage contact lens 90 may also be used to protect the cornea, as seen in Fig. 23.

[0064] Furthermore, as seen in Figs. 24 and 25, a mark 92 such as "cross hairs" 92a (Fig. 24) or a dot 92b (Fig. 25) can be placed on the eye. This can be done using a laser or physically applying a mark 4 using a dye or any other desired substance. This mark can help in aligning the position in which a laser is to form the flap. Additionally, as seen in Figs. 6 and 7, a similar mark 92 may be made on surface 62, it is easier to align the mark on lens 52 with the area on the surface of the cornea where lens 52 will be placed. Furthermore, when using station 24 and robotic arm 16, the mark on the lens and/or on the lens and the dispensing device 22 can be aligned with mark 92 which would ensure an accurate placement of lens 52.

[0065] As more fully described in the above referenced applications, U.S. Application Serial No. 09/758,263 and U.S. Application Serial No. 09/797,177, the

exposed surface of the cornea, preferably the stroma can be ablated to further correct the refractive error in the eye for any condition such myopia, hyperopia or astigmatism. For example, the refractive error in the eye is measured using wavefront technology. A flap 18 is then formed in the surface of the cornea and a portion of the exposed surface is ablated, either concentric with the main optical axis or offset from the main optical axis can be ablated to correct the specific problem. As shown in Fig. 21, an implant or lens 120 can then be positioned as described above. Preferably implant 120 has predetermined refractive properties for myopic or hyperopic or astigmatic correction. Implant 120 can be substantially similar to implant 20 or implant 56 or any other desired shape. Furthermore, as seen in Fig. 22, lens 120 can be ablated in any manner desired to further correct myopic, hyperopic or astigmatic error in the eye, as described above.

[0066] Flap 18 can then be repositioned over the exposed surface and the implant 120 in a relaxed state, as shown in Fig. 23, and similar to the repositioning of the flap described above.

[0067] It is noted that lasers 18 and 20 and lens dispensing device 22 do not necessarily need to be on separate robotic arms and may be all on the same arm or any two devices on the same arm. In this configuration, a rotating device could be placed at the end of the robotic arm that would allow the surgeon to position the proper device in front of the patient's cornea and activate each device accordingly.

[0068] When correcting either the implant or ablating a portion of the stroma with the excimer laser, it is possible to simultaneously use wavefront technology or Adaptec optic technology to create a near perfect correction in the eye and to remove all corneal irregularities. By using this technique to correct vision, it is possible to achieve 20/10 vision in the patient's eye or better.

[0069] While preferred embodiments have been chosen to illustrate the invention, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes and modifications can be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.